arXiv:0903.4149v1 [nlin.PS] 24 Mar 2009

Generalized circulant matrix in Coupled Map Lattices

M$^{\underline{a}}$ Dolores Sotelo Herrera[a]&Jesús San Martín[a,b]

[a] Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, E.U.I.T.I., Universidad Politécnica de Madrid. Ronda de Valencia 3, 28012 Madrid Spain

[b] Departamento de Física Matemática y de Fluidos, U.N.E.D. Senda del Rey 9, 28040 Madrid Spain

Corresponding author: jsm@dfmf.uned.es

Abstract

In this paper it is shown that a generalized circulant matrix underlies every weakly Coupled Map Lattice (CML), independently of the form of the coupling term. Therefore, this matrix will appear always perturbative methods are used to get the analytical solutions. In fact, the inverse of this matrix provides the analytical solution of the CML after using first order approximation methods. This inverse matrix, of arbitrary order, is explicitly calculated, thus providing the analytical expression for the temporal evolution of the CML.

1 Introduction

Since some decades ago chaos represents an authentic revolution in the way many problems are confronted. Its tools and applications have spread over all sciences from mathematics to physics, passing through chemistry, biology, economy, so on. At the beginning, chaos only studied one-dimensional systems. The most remarkable and well-known work of this class is the Feigenbaum's job [1, 2] about asymptotic behaviour of unimodal function ruling equations like

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \tag{1}$$

In spite of the amazing analytical results in one-dimensional systems, they are not suitable to describe collective behaviour, because they do not take into account interaction among elements of a system, so, they can not describe many natural phenomena. One way to solve this problem, and take into consideration interaction in a system, is by using Coupled Map Lattices (CML) [3].

The explicit form of CML can be given by the equations

$$X_i(n+1) = (1-\alpha) f(X_i(n)) + \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f(X_i(n)) \qquad i = 1, \cdots, m \tag{2}$$

where $X_i(n) \quad i = 1, \cdots, m$ represents the state of elements in the system at time n, f rules the individual dynamics of any element $X_i(n)$ according to equation (1) and the interation among the individual elements is given by $\frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f(X_i(n))$; in other cases a first neighbours interaction is chosen.

CML are used to model physical, chemical, economical and biological systems [4, 5]. From a mathematical point of view, they show many and interesting behaviours: travelling waves, synchronized states, period doubling cascades, and so on. Nonetheless, most of this behaviours have been observed numerically [6, 7, 8, 9] and do not have theoretical support. Furthemore, numerical results present another problem: they are restricted by a finite and fixed set of parameter values, specific funcions, and a finite number of elements in the CML. Therefore, it is unknown whether or not results are general results. On the other hand, some models need an arbitrary number of elements in the CML to be described properly.

This problem has been recently solved in [10], where the authors get analytical results. To get the analytical solution perturbative methods are applied and then a linear system with an arbitrary number of equations has to be solved. An arbitrary order functional matrix has to be inverted, at this time, the matrix is a generalized circulant matrix, as it would be expected and we will show in section "conclusions and discussion".

The goal of this paper is to calculate the inverse of the generalized circulant matrix, a matrix that will underlie in every weakly coupled map lattice. Futhermore, using the inverse matrix we will explicitly solve the linear system which provides an analytical expression for the time evolution of the coupled map lattice.

2 Theoretical results

Given that the CML

$$X_i(n+1) = (1-\varepsilon\alpha)f(X_i(n)) + \frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{p}\sum_{i=1}^{p} f(X_i(n)) \qquad i = 1\cdots p \; \varepsilon \ll 1 \quad (3)$$

is weakly coupled, we seek a solution in the form:

$$X_i(n+j) = x^*_{i+j} + \varepsilon A_{i+j}$$
$$i = 1, \cdots, p$$
$$j = 0, \cdots, p-1$$

where $f^p(x^*_i) = x^*_i \quad i = 1, \cdots, p$ and $f^{p'}(x^*_i) \neq 1$ (bifurcation point).

Solving the system (3) to order ε it is obtained:

$$-A_i f'(x^*_i) + A_{i+1} = \alpha x^*_i + \frac{\alpha}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x^*_{j+1} \quad i = 1, \cdots, p$$

whose matricial expression is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -f'(x^*_1) & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -f'(x^*_2) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -f'(x^*_3) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -f'(x^*_p) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_p \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -x^*_2 + \frac{1}{p}\Sigma_{j=1}^{p} x^*_j \\ -x^*_3 + \frac{1}{p}\Sigma_{j=1}^{p} x^*_j \\ -x^*_4 + \frac{1}{p}\Sigma_{j=1}^{p} x^*_j \\ \vdots \\ -x^*_1 + \frac{1}{p}\Sigma_{j=1}^{p} x^*_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

The order p matrix of the system

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -f'(x_1^*) & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -f'(x_2^*) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -f'(x_3^*) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -f'(x_p^*) \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

is not a circulant one, because $x_1^* \neq x_2^* \neq \cdots \neq x_p^*$.

The system (4) has p equations and p unknown coefficients whose matrix has determinant

$$|B| = (-1)^p \prod_{i=1}^{p} f'(x_i^*) + (-1)^{p+1} \tag{6}$$

Hence, given that $\prod_{i=1}^{p} f'(x_i^*) = f^{p'}(x_i^*) \neq 1$ (by hypothesis), the system is compatible and determined for every $\alpha \neq 0$. Moreover, as the independent term column is not null because $x_1^* \neq x_2^* \neq \cdots \neq x_p^*$, it results

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_2^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \\ -x_3^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \\ -x_4^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \\ \vdots \\ -x_1^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the solution of the system (4) is different from the trivial one, and is obtained by inversion as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_p \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -f'(x_1^*) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -f'(x_2^*) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -f'(x_p^*) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -x_2^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \\ -x_3^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \\ \vdots \\ -x_1^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

The inversion of the matrix (which is not a circulant one) results in the following

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ \vdots \\ A_p \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \frac{1}{(-1)^{p+1}(1-(f^p(x_1^*))')} MN \tag{8}$$

where the matrix M (as we will show later) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} f'(x_2^*)\dots f'(x_p^*) & f'(x_3^*)\dots f'(x_p^*) & f'(x_4^*)\dots f'(x_p^*) & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & f'(x_3^*)\dots f'(x_p^*)f'(x_1^*) & f'(x_4^*)\dots f'(x_p^*)f'(x_1^*) & \cdots & f'(x_1^*) \\ f'(x_2^*) & 1 & f'(x_4^*)\dots f'(x_p^*)f'(x_1^*)f'(x_2^*) & \cdots & f'(x_1^*)f'(x_2^*) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f'(x_2^*)\dots f'(x_{p-1}^*) & f'(x_3^*)\dots f'(x_{p-1}^*) & f'(x_4^*)\dots f'(x_{p-1}^*) & \cdots & f'(x_1^*)f'(x_2^*)\dots f'(x_{p-1}^*) \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

and N by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_2^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \\ -x_3^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \\ -x_4^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \\ \vdots \\ -x_1^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^* \end{pmatrix}$$

After operating in (8) it results in:

$$A_k = \frac{\alpha}{1-\left(f^p(x_1^*)\right)'}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{p-1}\left(\left(-x_{k+n}^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j^*\right)\prod_{l=n}^{p-1} f'(x_{k+l}^*)\right) + \left(-x_k^* + \frac{1}{p}\sum_{l=1}^{p} x_l^*\right)\right]$$
$$k = 1, \ldots, p \tag{10}$$

Not every $A_k = 0$, because the solution is known to be different from the trivial one.

If some $f'(x_i^*)$ were zero then the determinant of the matrix would be $(-1)^{p+1}$, according to equation (6), and the inverse matrix would still exist.

We need to show that $\frac{1}{1-(f^p(x_1^*))'}M$ is the inverse of B in order to prove that equation (10) is the solution of system (4). Inverting a funcional matrix with arbitrary size is quite complicated, it is enough have a look at M (see eq. 9) to assume this conclusion. But, if an explicit expression of the inverse matrix is known, no matter how it is found, then the easiest way to prove that it is really the inverse matrix is by direct multiplication. We use this technique in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 The matrix $D = \frac{1}{(1-(f^p(x_1^*))')}M$, where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} f'(x_2^*)\dots f'(x_p^*) & f'(x_3^*)\dots f'(x_p^*) & f'(x_4^*)\dots f'(x_p^*) & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & f'(x_3^*)\dots f'(x_p^*)f'(x_1^*) & f'(x_4^*)\dots f'(x_p^*)f'(x_1^*) & \cdots & f'(x_1^*) \\ f'(x_2^*) & 1 & f'(x_4^*)\dots f'(x_p^*)f'(x_1^*)f'(x_2^*) & \cdots & f'(x_1^*)f'(x_2^*) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f'(x_2^*)\dots f'(x_{p-1}^*) & f'(x_3^*)\dots f'(x_{p-1}^*) & f'(x_4^*)\dots f'(x_{p-1}^*) & \cdots & f'(x_1^*)f'(x_2^*)\dots f'(x_{p-1}^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the inverse matrix of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -f'(x_1^*) & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -f'(x_2^*) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -f'(x_3^*) & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -f'(x_p^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof Let $b_{i,j}$ be the elements of the matrix B and $m_{i,j}$ the elements of the matrix M , then

$$b_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -f'(x_i^*) & \text{if } i = j \\ 1 & \text{if } j = i+1,\ i \neq p \\ 1 & \text{if } i = p,\ j = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i-1,\ i \neq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 1,\ j = p \\ \prod_{k=1\ k\neq i}^{p} f'(x_k^*) & \text{if } j = i \\ m_{i-1,j} f'(x_{i-1}^*) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us denote by $c_{i,j}$ the elements of matrix C, where $C = BM$, then it yields

$$c_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} b_{ik} m_{kj} = b_{i,i} m_{i,j} + b_{i,i+1} m_{i+1,j}$$

hence:

i) When $j = i - 1$, $i \neq 1$ it results

$$c_{i,i-1} = b_{ii} m_{i,i-1} + b_{i,i+1} m_{i+1,i-1} = -f'(x_i^*) + f'(x_i^*) = 0$$

ii) When $i = 1$, $j = p$ it results

$$c_{1,p} = b_{11} m_{1,p} + b_{1,2} m_{2,p} = -f'(x_1^*) + f'(x_1^*) = 0$$

iii) when $i = j$ it results

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,i} = & \quad b_{i,i} m_{i,i} + b_{i,i+1} m_{i+1,i} \\ = & \quad -f'(x_i^*) \prod_{k=1\ k\neq i}^{p} f'(x_k^*) + 1 = -\prod_{k=1}^{p} f'(x_k^*) + 1 = -(f^p(x_1^*))' + 1 \end{aligned}$$

iv) Otherwise

$$c_{i,j} = b_{ii} m_{i,j} + b_{i,i+1} m_{i+1,j} = -f'(x_i^*) m_{i,j} + m_{i,j} f'(x_i^*) = 0$$

Summarizing $C = (1 - (f^p(x_1^*))')I$, with I the order p identity matrix, and the theorem is proved.

Remark. Observe that if, instead of having a global neighbour interaction CML, we had any other, the generalised circulant matrix would not change because the coupling terms lie in the intependent term column (see equation (7)).

Conclusions and discussion

Most of the results in CML are numerical, despite the great efforts to get analytical results in this field [11, 12]. An interesting theoretical result was given by Lemaitré and Chat [13] who proved that global properties emerge from local ones in CML.

This result is very relevant in weakly coupled map lattices because a perturbative solution can be seeked from local behaviour. Perturbative techniques lead to linear problems. In this paper, the theorems are proved that allow to get the solution of the CML.

In the linearized CML given by (4) one would expect to find a circulant matrix taking into account the periodic boundary conditions of the CML. However, the matrix of system (4) must also take into account the local properties, due to the fact that global properties emerge from them. Therefore, the matrix of system (4) is one that simultaneously includes periodic boundary conditions and local properties, resulting in a generalized circulant matrix. As we have pointed out, global properties emerge from local ones, so this kind of generalized circulant matrix must underlie every weakly CML. Its inverse, explicitly calculated in this paper, gives the sought for analytical solutions of the CML, independently of the form of the coupling term (see Remark after the proof of the theorem).

References

[1] M.J. Feigenbaum, Quantitative universal for a class of nonlinear transformations, J Statist Phys 19 (1978), pp. 25-52

[2] M.J. Feigenbaum, The universl metric properties of nonlinear transformations, J Statist Phys 21 (1979), pp. 669-706

[3] K. Kaneko, Theory and applications of coupled map lattices. New York: Wiley; 1993.

[4] [Special issue: Chaos 1992;2(3)]

[5] [Special issue: Physica D 1997:103]

[6] P. Palaniyandi, P. Muruganandam, M. Laksmanan, Coexistence of synchronized and desynchronized patterns in coupled chaotic dynamical systems. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 36 (2008), pp. 991-1018

[7] C. Grebogi, S.M. Hammel, J.A. Yorke, T. Sauer, Shadowing of physical trajectories in chaotic dynamics: containment and refinement. Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990), pp. 1527-1530

[8] C. Zhou, C-H. Lai, Analysis of spurious synchronization with positive conditional Lyapunov exponents in computer simulation. Physica D 135 (2000), pp. 1-23

[9] C. Zhou, J. Kurths, Noise-induced phase synchronization and synchronization transitions in chaotic oscillators. Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002), 230602

[10] Mª.D. Sotelo, J. San Martín, An analytical study in coupled map lattices of synchronized states and traveling waves, and of their period-doubling cascades. Chaos Solitons & Fractals (2009), doi:10.1016/j.chaos.2009.02.040

[11] E. Ahmed, A.S. Hegazi, A.S. Elgazzar, H.M. Yehia, On synchronization, persistence and seasonality in some spatially inhomogeneous models in epidemics and ecology. Physica A 322 (2003), pp. 155-168

[12] C. Tian, G. Chen, Chaos in the sense of Li-Yorke in coupled map lattices. Physica A 376 (2007), pp. 246-252

[13] A. Lemaître , H. Chaté, Nonperturbative renormalization group for chaotic coupled map lattices. Phys Rev Lett 80(25) (1998), pp. 5528-5531